

Paperless Office Policy Advocacy in Nigerian Educational Institutions: A Catalyst for Organizational Greening and Environmental Sustainability

¹WOKOMA, Harcourt Opubo, ²ENGOYE, Ariwareni Paul Obele

¹Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Management Sciences, Federal University Otuoke, Bayelsa State Nigeria.

opuskki@gmail.com

+234 7030 816 560

²Faculty of Education, Federal University Otuoke, Bayelsa State Nigeria.

ngerikpakaobele@yahoo.com

+234 8036658091

Abstract

The growing global emphasis on sustainability has highlighted the need for innovative practices that minimize environmental degradation while enhancing organizational efficiency. Within this context, the adoption of paperless office policies has emerged as a critical strategy for promoting organizational greening and environmental sustainability. This paper reviews the implications of paperless office policy advocacy in Nigerian educational institutions, situating it as a catalyst for sustainable transformation. Drawing on theoretical perspectives such as institutional theory, innovation diffusion theory, and the resource-based view, the paper synthesizes evidence from existing studies to examine how paperless practices influence cost reduction, efficiency, and ecological responsibility. Findings indicate that while paperless policies significantly reduce paper waste and carbon footprints, challenges such as poor ICT infrastructure, inadequate digital literacy, resistance to change, and unreliable electricity supply hinder widespread implementation in Nigerian contexts. The review argues that effective advocacy, strong leadership, ICT investment, and stakeholder collaboration are essential to overcoming these barriers. It concludes that paperless office policies are not merely administrative innovations but strategic instruments for embedding sustainability within educational institutions. The paper recommends the development of robust policy frameworks, capacity-building programs, and systematic monitoring mechanisms to support paperless transitions. Ultimately, the study underscores that paperless policy advocacy, when properly implemented, aligns with Nigeria's commitment to sustainable development and the global climate agenda.

Keywords: Paperless office, organizational greening, environmental sustainability, educational institutions, Nigeria, ICT adoption.

Introduction

The challenge of environmental degradation and climate change has become a pressing concern across the globe, with developing nations such as Nigeria experiencing significant ecological stress due to deforestation, poor waste management, and high carbon emissions (Adebayo & Olamide, 2021). In response, organizations are increasingly adopting sustainability strategies to reduce environmental footprints, improve operational efficiency, and align

with global sustainable development goals (United Nations, 2015). Within this context, the paperless office policy has emerged as a critical approach to reducing resource wastage, advancing organizational greening, and promoting long-term environmental sustainability.

Educational institutions, particularly universities and colleges, are knowledge hubs that shape societal values and practices. Their role in advancing

environmental consciousness is crucial, given their capacity to integrate sustainability principles into both pedagogy and administration (Okafor & Ihejirika, 2020). However, many Nigerian institutions remain heavily reliant on paper-based administrative and academic systems, including examinations, records management, and communication. This overdependence contributes to excessive paper consumption, deforestation, and increased costs of operations (Ogunyemi & Ojo, 2019). Transitioning to a paperless system offers opportunities to cut costs, enhance efficiency, and reduce ecological footprints, thereby supporting Nigeria's commitment to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 12 on responsible consumption and production (United Nations, 2015).

Globally, studies have highlighted the positive implications of paperless systems. For instance, Yusoff et al. (2018) observed that digital records management significantly reduces institutional overheads and environmental waste, while enhancing service delivery. Similarly, Ngugi and Nyaribo (2020) demonstrated that paperless adoption in universities fosters innovation, transparency, and accountability. In Nigeria, however, the adoption of digital and paperless frameworks remains slow due to challenges such as inadequate ICT infrastructure, weak policy frameworks, and resistance to organizational change (Eze et al., 2021). These limitations call for policy advocacy that emphasizes not only the environmental benefits but also the economic and managerial advantages of paperless systems in educational institutions.

The advocacy for paperless office policies is therefore positioned as both a strategic and operational necessity. From a strategic standpoint, paperless practices align institutions with global environmental standards and demonstrate organizational commitment to sustainability (Adams & Frost, 2008). From an operational perspective, they streamline processes, reduce bureaucratic bottlenecks, and improve data security and accessibility (Adebayo & Olamide, 2021). This paper argues that if Nigerian educational institutions actively adopt and promote paperless policies, they can become catalysts for broader societal greening efforts, while

simultaneously achieving greater efficiency and sustainability in their internal operations.

Thus, the objective of this paper is to examine the implications of paperless office policy advocacy in Nigerian educational institutions, with a particular focus on how such advocacy can serve as a catalyst for organizational greening and environmental sustainability. The paper contributes to sustainability literature by linking policy advocacy with practical institutional reforms, while offering insights for policymakers, educational administrators, and sustainability advocates in Nigeria.

Statement of the Problem

Despite the growing global awareness of environmental sustainability, Nigerian educational institutions continue to rely heavily on paper-based systems for administration, teaching, examinations, and record management. This reliance not only generates excessive paper waste but also contributes indirectly to deforestation, high operational costs, and inefficiencies in institutional processes (Ogunyemi & Ojo, 2019). For instance, massive volumes of paper are consumed annually for student records, memos, circulars, and teaching materials, creating ecological and financial burdens that contradict the principles of sustainable development.

Globally, many universities have begun to adopt paperless policies, leveraging digital platforms to improve efficiency, reduce waste, and minimize their environmental footprint (Yusoff et al., 2018). However, in Nigeria, the pace of this transition remains slow. Barriers such as inadequate ICT infrastructure, poor digital literacy among staff, resistance to organizational change, and the absence of strong institutional frameworks continue to hinder progress (Eze et al., 2021). This lag not only undermines the nation's alignment with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 12 on responsible consumption and production, but also limits the capacity of educational institutions to act as exemplars of sustainability for wider society (United Nations, 2015).

Furthermore, the absence of deliberate policy advocacy within Nigerian educational institutions

exacerbates the problem. Without clear advocacy and leadership commitment, the adoption of paperless systems is perceived as optional rather than strategic, leading to fragmented initiatives that fail to achieve significant impact (Adams & Frost, 2008). Consequently, institutions risk being left behind in global sustainability discourse, missing opportunities to reduce costs, improve administrative efficiency, and contribute meaningfully to environmental protection.

This situation raises critical questions: Why have Nigerian educational institutions remained resistant to paperless policy adoption despite the obvious benefits? What systemic, organizational, and cultural barriers hinder this transition? And how can strong advocacy frameworks be developed to position paperless policies not just as operational conveniences, but as catalysts for organizational greening and environmental sustainability?

Addressing these questions is urgent, as the education sector plays a pivotal role in shaping societal values and practices. By failing to lead in the adoption of sustainable practices such as paperless systems, Nigerian educational institutions risk undermining their credibility as agents of social change and environmental stewardship. Thus, this study seeks to bridge the gap by examining the policy implications of paperless office advocacy and its potential to drive organizational greening and sustainability in Nigerian higher education.

Objectives of the Study

The main objective of this study is to examine the implications of paperless office policy advocacy in Nigerian educational institutions as a catalyst for organizational greening and environmental sustainability. Specifically, the study seeks to:

1. Assess the extent to which paperless office policy advocacy influences organizational greening in Nigerian educational institutions.
2. Examine the relationship between paperless practices and environmental sustainability within the education sector.
3. Identify the challenges hindering the adoption of paperless systems in Nigerian educational institutions.

4. Explore strategies for strengthening policy advocacy that promotes paperless systems as a tool for sustainability.

Research Questions

Based on the stated objectives, the study seeks to provide answers to the following questions:

1. To what extent does paperless office policy advocacy influence organizational greening in Nigerian educational institutions?
2. What is the relationship between paperless practices and environmental sustainability in Nigerian educational institutions?
3. What challenges hinder the adoption of paperless systems in Nigerian educational institutions?
4. What strategies can enhance policy advocacy for paperless systems as a driver of sustainability?

Literature Review

Concept of Paperless Office

The idea of a “paperless office” emerged in the 1970s with the advent of digital technologies and has since evolved into a sustainability-driven practice (Sellen & Harper, 2002). A paperless office refers to the reduction or elimination of paper in organizational processes through the use of digital documentation, cloud storage, electronic communication, and e-signatures (Alemu, 2019). Beyond efficiency, the paperless office is increasingly seen as an eco-innovation that reduces deforestation, waste generation, and organizational costs (Chugh, 2015).

Paperless Policy Advocacy in Educational Institutions

Educational institutions play a central role in shaping sustainability values. Research shows that schools and universities consume significant amounts of paper due to administrative, instructional, and examination processes (Owolabi & Akinola, 2020). Paperless policies in education, such as the adoption of Learning Management Systems (LMS), digital exams, and e-library systems, foster eco-consciousness and reduce institutional carbon footprints (Adegbite & Oni, 2021). According to Ngugi and Nyaribo (2020), advocacy is critical, as successful adoption depends not only on technology availability but also on awareness, policy enforcement, and stakeholder buy-in.

Paperless Systems and Organizational Greening

Organizational greening refers to embedding eco-friendly practices into institutional systems and culture (Shrivastava, 1995). Paperless systems support greening by promoting responsible resource consumption, energy savings, and waste reduction. Yusoff et al. (2018) found that digital documentation reduces printing and storage costs while improving operational transparency. Similarly, Elshaer and Azazz (2021) argue that paperless practices contribute to green organizational culture, where sustainability becomes an institutional value rather than an afterthought.

Environmental Sustainability and the Role of Digitalization

Environmental sustainability focuses on balancing economic growth with ecological preservation (WCED, 1987). Digitalization, including paperless policies, offers pathways for reducing organizations' ecological footprints. According to Ojo and Adebayo (2019), adopting ICT-enabled sustainability practices reduces reliance on physical infrastructure, thus mitigating deforestation and carbon emissions. In higher education, digital record-keeping, e-learning platforms, and e-administration provide scalable alternatives to traditional paper-intensive methods (Ismail & Yusuf, 2020).

Challenges to Paperless Office Adoption in Nigeria

Despite the benefits, Nigerian institutions face several barriers to paperless office adoption. These include inadequate ICT infrastructure, unreliable power supply, poor internet connectivity, and resistance to change (Adeniji et al., 2020). Moreover, regulatory frameworks and policy inconsistencies often slow down implementation (Eze & Nwankwo, 2019). Cultural factors, such as preference for hardcopy documentation for credibility, also hinder progress. Advocacy and leadership commitment, therefore, remain essential for overcoming these barriers (Adeyemi, 2021).

Theoretical Underpinning: Institutional Theory and Green HRM

Institutional theory posits that organizations adopt new practices in response to external pressures such as regulations, cultural norms, and stakeholder expectations (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). Paperless office adoption in Nigerian educational institutions reflects normative (professional standards), coercive (regulatory requirements), and mimetic (benchmarking) pressures. Additionally, Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) frameworks highlight how training, awareness, and employee involvement in eco-practices drive successful policy adoption (Renwick, Redman, & Maguire, 2013).

Empirical Review

Several empirical studies have examined paperless policy adoption, electronic examinations, and digital records management particularly within educational institutions and public organizations.

First, the role of ICT support in enabling paperless environments has been highlighted. AbdulKareem (2020) found that ICT capability and management commitment are critical for reducing paper use in Nigerian public universities. Similarly, Adeyemi et al. (2018) reported that despite partial digital adoption, hardcopy records still dominate in Nigerian HEIs, creating duplication and inefficiencies.

Electronic examination platforms represent another area of paperless implementation. A case study at the National Open University of Nigeria (NOUN) showed that e-exams reduce paper consumption and improve efficiency, though staff training and technical challenges remain significant (IJET, 2021). In a broader evaluation, Al-Hakeem and Abdulrahman (2017) confirmed that e-exams yield cost and time savings while minimizing paper-related logistics. More recently, an international rollout of digital exam pads showed substantial reductions in exam-related paper waste, though institutions faced challenges with costs and adoption (Times of India, 2025).

Electronic records management has also been empirically assessed. Studies of university libraries in Nigeria reveal progress toward digital records but persistent gaps in policy, metadata standards, and staff training (Otuza, 2015). Pan-African cases similarly show that digital records improve efficiency and retrieval while reducing paper, provided governance frameworks are in place (Ngulube, 2019).

Organizational-level studies link paperless practices with sustainability outcomes. Yousufi (2023) demonstrated that paperless processes lower printing costs, improve turnaround times, and reduce carbon footprints. A South African case study further showed tangible benefits of going paperless in the justice sector, though training and policy clarity were prerequisites (Mokgopo, 2016).

The mediating role of culture and advocacy is also significant. A recent study confirmed that pro-environmental culture and leadership amplify the sustainability impact of paperless policies in HEIs (Hussain et al., 2024). Similarly, evidence from UNNES (Indonesia) revealed that paperless policies integrated with internal audit systems improved environmental performance indicators (Susanto, 2023). In East Africa, digital transformation toward fully paperless systems was accelerated by COVID-19, yielding efficiency and accessibility benefits but constrained by infrastructure (Kayiwa, 2025).

Overall, Nigerian evidence highlights persistent barriers such as inadequate ICT infrastructure, erratic electricity, and cultural preferences for hardcopy “legitimacy” (AbdulKareem, 2020; Adeyemi et al., 2018; Otuza, 2015). These findings suggest that without targeted advocacy, training, and governance reforms, paperless transitions in Nigerian Higher Educational Institutions may remain partial. Owolabi and Oluwatayo (2020) examined the adoption of paperless technologies in universities and discovered that the introduction of electronic document management systems significantly reduced the cost of paper procurement and waste disposal. Their findings suggest that policy-driven adoption of digital systems fosters both cost efficiency and environmental sustainability. Similarly, Eze et al. (2021) conducted a survey among tertiary institutions in South-East Nigeria, finding that electronic record-keeping and online communication platforms directly improved resource efficiency while reducing paper-based administrative bottlenecks. However, they noted that infrastructural limitations such as unstable electricity and inadequate ICT facilities limited full-scale adoption.

Outside Nigeria, Ali and Ahmad (2020) studied Malaysian universities and found that green ICT adoption—especially policies promoting paperless administrative systems—had a statistically

significant positive effect on organizational sustainability. Their findings emphasized the mediating role of leadership commitment in ensuring successful implementation. In South Africa, Ngwenya and Molefe (2021) assessed the integration of paperless policies in teacher training colleges. Their results showed that paperless systems not only improved environmental outcomes but also enhanced collaboration and accessibility of learning resources. Nevertheless, digital inequality among staff and students remained a barrier to widespread success.

At the global level, Zainudin et al. (2022) revealed that higher education institutions that integrated e-learning platforms and digital libraries experienced reductions in institutional carbon footprints, aligning with global Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This study confirmed that policy frameworks play a catalytic role in scaling paperless initiatives.

Collectively, these empirical studies demonstrate that paperless office policy advocacy holds strong potential as a catalyst for organizational greening and environmental sustainability in educational institutions. However, they also highlight persistent challenges such as infrastructural deficits, digital literacy gaps, and inconsistent policy enforcement in the Nigerian context.

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical underpinning of this paperless office policy advocacy is grounded in organizational, environmental, and technological adoption theories that explain how institutions embrace innovation to enhance sustainability outcomes. Several theories provide useful lenses for this discourse:

Institutional Theory

Institutional theory posits that organizations adopt new practices and policies in response to institutional pressures—coercive (regulations), normative (professional standards), and mimetic (imitating successful peers) (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). Within the Nigerian educational context, the adoption of paperless policies may stem from regulatory pushes toward sustainable practices, professional demands for efficient digital administration, and the need to align with global green standards. This framework helps explain why institutions embrace

paperless office systems even when they face resource and infrastructural limitations.

Resource-Based View (RBV)

The Resource-Based View (RBV) asserts that organizations achieve sustained competitive advantage by leveraging unique resources and capabilities (Barney, 1991). In this context, digital infrastructures (e.g., e-learning platforms, electronic document management systems, and cloud computing) serve as strategic resources that can drive efficiency, reduce costs, and promote sustainability. Educational institutions that effectively implement paperless policies develop unique capabilities in green administration, positioning themselves ahead of less innovative peers.

Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), developed by Davis (1989), explains how users come to accept and use new technologies. It highlights perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use as primary factors influencing adoption. For paperless policy implementation in educational institutions, staff and students are more likely to embrace digital systems if they perceive them as efficient, reliable, and user-friendly. TAM therefore provides insights into the behavioral dimension of adopting a paperless office.

Green Theory

Green Theory, as applied in environmental sustainability discourse, emphasizes the integration of ecological consciousness into organizational policies and practices (Eckersley, 2004). This theory underlines the moral and ecological responsibility of institutions to reduce waste, conserve resources, and adopt eco-friendly innovations. Applying Green Theory, the paperless office policy becomes more than an administrative tool; it is an ethical and environmental imperative for educational institutions in Nigeria to mitigate paper waste, conserve forests, and contribute to climate change reduction.

Synthesis of Theories

Taken together, these frameworks provide a holistic understanding of paperless office advocacy in Nigeria's educational institutions. Institutional theory

explains the external and societal pressures that drive adoption; RBV highlights the competitive advantage of developing digital capabilities; TAM explains user acceptance and utilization; while Green Theory emphasizes the environmental imperative. Collectively, they justify the advocacy for paperless office systems as a pathway to organizational greening and environmental sustainability.

Methodology

Research Design

This paper employed a systematic review approach aimed at synthesizing existing evidence on paperless office policy advocacy and its implications for organizational greening and environmental sustainability in educational institutions. A systematic review is particularly appropriate for this study because it enables the integration of findings from diverse sources, providing a comprehensive understanding of the topic without primary data collection (Tranfield, Denyer, & Smart, 2003; Snyder, 2019).

Sources of Data

Secondary data formed the basis of this study. Peer-reviewed journal articles, policy documents, conference proceedings, theses, and reports from credible organizations such as the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) were reviewed. The literature covered the period between 2000 and 2025, ensuring a balance between foundational works and contemporary research (Boell & Cecez-Kecmanovic, 2015).

The extracted data were analyzed using a narrative synthesis approach, which integrates findings across studies to identify common themes, contradictions, and gaps (Pope, Mays, & Popay, 2007; Snyder, 2019). This enabled a holistic understanding of the paperless office concept as it relates to educational institutions in Nigeria.

Discussion

The findings from this review highlight that paperless office policy advocacy has significant implications for advancing organizational greening and promoting environmental sustainability in Nigerian educational

institutions. Across the reviewed studies, three broad themes emerged: drivers of adoption, barriers to implementation, and sustainability outcomes.

Drivers of Paperless Office Adoption

The adoption of paperless office policies in educational institutions is often driven by a combination of economic, environmental, and social factors. From an economic perspective, institutions seek to reduce the cost of procuring, storing, and managing paper documents, which can consume up to 15% of annual budgets in administrative processes (Chugh & Grandhi, 2013). Environmentally, advocacy for digital transformation aligns with global sustainability goals such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 12 (responsible consumption and production) and Goal 13 (climate action) (United Nations, 2015). Socially, paperless policies promote efficiency, accountability, and transparency in academic administration (Ololube, 2016).

Barriers to Implementation

Despite these benefits, numerous challenges hinder the effective implementation of paperless policies in Nigeria. Key barriers include inadequate digital infrastructure, unreliable electricity supply, resistance to organizational change, and low digital literacy among staff (Okebukola, 2020; Eze, Chinedu-Eze, & Bello, 2018). For instance, while developed countries are increasingly integrating e-documents, e-libraries, and cloud-based platforms, many Nigerian institutions still struggle with inconsistent internet connectivity and lack of staff training (Oye, Iahad, & Rahim, 2011). This creates a gap between advocacy and actual practice.

Sustainability Outcomes

The literature consistently supports the view that implementing paperless office policies contributes positively to **organizational greening and environmental sustainability**. Reduction in paper consumption directly lowers deforestation and associated carbon emissions, while digital processes enhance energy efficiency by reducing reliance on physical storage and logistics (Rekik, Boukadi, & Ben Ghezala, 2019). In the context of Nigerian educational institutions, adopting digital workflows

also encourages students and staff to cultivate eco-conscious behaviors, thus reinforcing green organizational culture (Adebayo & Akinsanya, 2021).

Implications for Educational Institutions in Nigeria

The evidence suggests that while advocacy for paperless office policies has gained momentum, successful implementation requires structural reforms and leadership commitment. Specifically, institutional leaders must:

1. Invest in digital infrastructure and ICT capacity-building programs.
2. Promote awareness campaigns to reduce resistance to change.
3. Develop policies that integrate paperless systems with broader sustainability strategies.

Moreover, advocacy efforts should not only focus on cost reduction but also emphasize the long-term environmental and cultural benefits of greening educational institutions. This perspective aligns with institutional theory, which argues that organizational practices are shaped by societal expectations and pressures to conform to sustainability standards (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983).

Conclusion

This review has examined the implications of paperless office policy advocacy in Nigerian educational institutions as a catalyst for organizational greening and environmental sustainability. The findings demonstrate that while paperless practices hold significant promise in reducing environmental footprints, cutting costs, and promoting eco-friendly cultures, their adoption within Nigerian institutions remains slow and fragmented.

The reviewed literature shows that paperless office policies contribute positively to organizational sustainability by reducing paper consumption, lowering carbon emissions, and fostering green organizational culture. However, barriers such as inadequate infrastructure, resistance to change, limited ICT literacy, and poor electricity supply continue to impede large-scale adoption. From a theoretical standpoint, **institutional theory and innovation diffusion theory** explain the dual forces of

societal pressure and internal readiness shaping adoption.

Overall, paperless office advocacy in Nigerian educational institutions represents not merely a cost-saving measure but a transformational strategy for sustainable development. When strategically implemented, it aligns with the global climate agenda and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly goals on responsible consumption and environmental protection.

Recommendations

Based on the insights of this review, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Policy Development and Enforcement

Educational institutions in Nigeria should design and enforce clear paperless office policies that define measurable targets for reducing paper usage. Government agencies like the Federal Ministry of Education should integrate such policies into national sustainability frameworks.

2. Investment in ICT Infrastructure

Institutions must invest in reliable internet access, digital storage systems, and power supply solutions (such as solar energy) to enable effective adoption of paperless practices.

3. Capacity Building and Awareness Campaigns

Regular training workshops should be organized to improve digital literacy among staff and students. Awareness campaigns must emphasize the environmental and organizational benefits of reducing paper dependence.

4. Leadership and Change Management

Institutional leaders should champion the transition to paperless systems by creating an inclusive, participative culture that reduces resistance to change and encourages innovation.

5. Collaboration with Stakeholders

Educational institutions should partner with technology providers, government agencies, and NGOs to implement sustainable ICT solutions and leverage external support for greening initiatives.

6. Monitoring and Evaluation

Establish performance indicators to track progress in reducing paper consumption and improving environmental outcomes. This should be linked to broader sustainability reporting practices.

In conclusion, adopting paperless office policies in Nigerian educational institutions is not only feasible but urgent in the face of climate change and environmental degradation. By bridging the gaps in infrastructure, capacity, and leadership, educational institutions can serve as models of organizational greening and contribute meaningfully to a sustainable future.

References

- AbdulKareem, A. K. (2020). E-Government, ICT support and paperless environment in Nigerian public universities: Issues and challenges. *Journal of Technology Management and Business*, 7(1), 1–12.
- Adams, C. A., & Frost, G. R. (2008). Integrating sustainability reporting into management practices. *Accounting Forum*, 32(4), 288–302. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2008.05.002>
- Adebayo, O. S., & Akinsanya, B. (2021). Digital transformation and sustainability in Nigerian higher education institutions. *African Journal of Educational Management*, 19*(2), 55–70.
- Adebayo, T. S., & Olamide, O. (2021). Digital transformation and sustainable development: Exploring the role of paperless office in emerging economies. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*, 23(4), 45–59.
- Adegbite, A., & Oni, T. (2021). Digitalization and sustainability practices in higher education: Evidence from Nigeria. *African Journal of Education and Technology*, 11 (2), 55–68.
- Adeniji, A., Salau, O. P., & Ogueyungbo, O. (2020). Barriers to ICT adoption in Nigerian universities: Implications for paperless administration. *Journal of African Management Studies*, 8 (3), 210–227.
- Adeyemi, B. (2021). Leadership and advocacy in sustainable education: Towards green campuses in Africa. *Sustainable Development in Education*, 13 (1), 77–90.
- Adeyemi, O., Akinola, A., & Adekoya, S. (2018). Records management practices in Nigerian public

- universities. *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal), 1852, 1–15.
- Alemu, A. (2019). Digital transformation and paperless offices: Drivers of organizational performance. *International Journal of Information Systems*, 14 (1), 23–34.
- Al-Hakeem, M. S., & Abdulrahman, S. (2017). Developing a new e-exam platform to enhance the university academic examinations: The case of Lebanese French University. *International Journal of Modern Education and Computer Science*, 9(5), 12–21.
<https://doi.org/10.5815/ijmecs.2017.05.02>
- Ali, N., & Ahmad, S. (2020). Green ICT adoption and organizational sustainability: Evidence from Malaysian universities. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 258, 120678.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120678>
- Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17 (1), 99–120.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>
- Boell, S. K., & Cecez-Kecmanovic, D. (2015). On being 'systematic' in literature reviews in IS. *Journal of Information Technology*, 30 (2), 161–173.
<https://doi.org/10.1057/jit.2014.26>
- Chugh, R. (2015). Do Australian universities really need a paperless office? *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 37 (5), 483–495.
- Chugh, R., & Grandhi, S. (2013). Why do employees resist knowledge management systems? Case study in an Australian public sector organization. *International Journal of Information Management*, 33(5), 680–690.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2013.05.002>
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319–340.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/249008>
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality. *American Sociological Review, 48*(2), 147–160.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2095101>
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48*(2), 147–160.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2095101>
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147–160.
- Eckersley, R. (2004). *The green state: Rethinking democracy and sovereignty*. MIT Press
- Elshaer, I. A., & Azazz, A. M. (2021). Green organizational culture and sustainability: The role of paperless systems. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 278, 123–138.
- Eze, S. C., & Nwankwo, F. (2019). ICT policy implementation in Nigeria: An assessment of challenges. *Information Technology for Development, 25 (1), 132–151.
- Eze, S. C., Chinedu-Eze, V. C., & Bello, A. O. (2018). The utilization of e-learning facilities in the education of accounting students in Nigeria: A study of selected universities. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 15(1), 1–20.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-018-0119-8>
- Eze, S. C., Chinedu-Eze, V. C., & Bello, A. O. (2021). Technological infrastructure and digital adoption in Nigerian higher education: Implications for sustainability. *International Journal of Education and Development using ICT*, 17(2), 124–139.
- Eze, S. C., Chinedu-Eze, V. C., & Bello, A. O. (2021). The adoption of electronic records management in Nigerian universities: Implications for organizational efficiency and sustainability. *Library Philosophy and Practice, 1–20.
- Hussain, A., Zhang, R., & Mukhles, A. (2024). Pro-environmental culture as a mediator in paperless policy adoption for sustainability in higher education institutions. *Frontiers in Sustainability, 5 (2), 1–14.
- IJIED. (2021). Electronic examination in Nigeria: Academic staff perspective (NOUN case study). *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 11 (3), 114–121.

- Ismail, R., & Yusuf, A. (2020). Sustainable e-learning practices in higher education. **Journal of Educational Technology Research*, 12(4), 244–258.
- Kayiwa, J. (2025). Exploring digital transformation to fully paperless systems: Experiences from a business school in East Africa. **Research in Higher Education Journal*, 38*(1), 1–10.
- Kitchenham, B. (2004). Procedures for performing systematic reviews. Keele University Technical Report TR/SE-0401.
- Mokgopo, M. (2016). The influence of a paperless office environment on sustainability: A South African justice sector case. *Environmental Economics*, 7 (2), 104–113.
[[https://doi.org/10.21511/ee.07\(2\).2016.11](https://doi.org/10.21511/ee.07(2).2016.11)](<https://doi.org/10.21511/ee.07%282%29.2016.11>)
- Ngugi, P. K., & Nyaribo, Y. M. (2020). Adoption of paperless systems in universities: Drivers, challenges, and sustainability implications. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 42(3), 275–290.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1360080X.2019.1701854>
- Ngugi, P., & Nyaribo, Y. (2020). ICT integration and sustainable education management. *African Journal of Business and Economic Research*, 15 (2), 35–52.
- Ngulube, P. (2019). Implications of e-records management in African universities. **Journal of African Information Science*, 11 (1), 23–39.
- Ngwenya, T., & Molefe, T. (2021). Paperless policy adoption and environmental sustainability in South African teacher training colleges. **International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 22*(7), 150–166. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-11-2020-0415>
- Ogunyemi, T., & Ojo, A. (2019). Paperless office and sustainable administration in Nigerian universities: Challenges and prospects. *African Journal of Management Studies*, 10(2), 89–105.
- Ojo, O., & Adebayo, A. (2019). ICT and environmental sustainability in Africa: Challenges and opportunities. **African Journal of Sustainable Development*, 6 (1), 88–104.
- Okafor, E. E., & Ihejirika, P. I. (2020). Education for sustainable development in Nigerian higher institutions: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 11(8), 54–62.
<https://doi.org/10.7176/JEP/11-8-07>
- Okebukola, P. (2020). Repositioning Nigerian universities for relevance in the 21st century. *Journal of Higher Education in Africa*, 18 (2), 1–20.
- Ololube, N. P. (2016). Handbook on ICT in education and research. Owerri: Springfield Publishers.
- Otuza, C. (2015). Status of electronic records management in Nigerian university libraries. *African Journal of Library, Archives and Information Science*, 25 (1), 15–25.
- Owolabi, A. O., & Oluwatayo, J. A. (2020). Digital transformation and paperless initiatives in Nigerian higher institutions: A pathway to sustainability. *Nigerian Journal of Educational Administration and Planning*, 20(2), 44–60.
- Owolabi, T., & Akinola, B. (2020). Paper consumption in Nigerian universities: Environmental and cost implications. *Nigerian Journal of Education Studies*, 23 (1), 111–128.
- Oye, N. D., Iahad, N. A., & Rahim, N. Z. A. (2011). Acceptance and usage of ICT by university academics in Nigeria: Towards a framework for reengineering ICT adoption. **Academic Research International*, 1(2), 1–9.
- Pope, C., Mays, N., & Popay, J. (2007). Synthesizing qualitative and quantitative health evidence: A guide to methods*. Open University Press.
- Rekik, M., Boukadi, K., & Ben Ghezala, H. (2019). Towards green BPM: Integrating sustainability indicators in business process models. *Business Process Management Journal*, 25(7), 1521–1544.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-11-2017-0322>
- Renwick, D. W. S., Redman, T., & Maguire, S. (2013). Green Human Resource Management: A review and research agenda. **International Journal of Management Reviews*, 15 (1), 1–14.
- Sellen, A. J., & Harper, R. H. (2002). The myth of the paperless office. MIT Press.
- Shrivastava, P. (1995). Environmental technologies and competitive advantage. *Strategic Management Journal*, 16 (S1), 183–200.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Susanto, H. (2023). Integrating paperless policy with internal audit systems for environmental

sustainability: Evidence from UNNES. *Asian Journal of Sustainability and Social Responsibility*, 8(4), 1–12.

Times of India. (2025, February 21). DU, Jamia go paperless: Digital exam pads reduce paper waste. *Times of India*.
<https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com>

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *British Journal of Management*, 14 (3), 207–222. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.00375>

United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development*. New York: UN.

United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development*. United Nations Publishing. <https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda>
World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED). (1987). *Our common future* Oxford University Press.

Yousufi, M. K. (2023). Exploring paperless working: A step towards low carbon footprint. *Eurasian Journal of Open Science and Development Research*, 3 (2), 77–89.

Yusoff, Y. M., Nejati, M., Kee, D. M. H., & Amran, A. (2018). Linking green human resource management practices to environmental performance in Malaysia. *Global Business Review*, 19 (2), 1–18.

Yusoff, Y. M., Omar, M. K., & Zainudin, N. (2018). Towards a paperless campus: Exploring benefits and barriers of digital document management in higher education. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 8(9), 788–803. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v8-i9/4631>

Zainudin, N., Rahman, A., & Latif, R. (2022). E-learning platforms and digital libraries as enablers of sustainability in higher education institutions. *Sustainability*, 14(4), 2154. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14042154>