International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Educational Development
Volume 2, Issue 2 | March – April 2026 | www.ijamred.com
ISSN: 3107-6513

Curriculum Innovation and Students' Learning Outcomes in Basic Education

Peace Uwem Ekanem

Abstract – Curriculum innovation has emerged as a fundamental driver of educational transformation in the 21st century, particularly in basic education systems striving to improve learning outcomes and global competitiveness. This study critically examines the relationship between curriculum innovation and students' learning outcomes, with emphasis on pedagogical transformation, integration of technology, and competency-based frameworks. Anchored on constructivist, human capital, and systems theories, the study adopts a mixed-method research design involving quantitative analysis of academic performance and qualitative insights from educators. Findings reveal that curriculum innovation significantly enhances cognitive achievement, critical thinking, creativity, and learner engagement. However, systemic challenges—including inadequate funding, teacher preparedness gaps, and infrastructural deficits—limit effective implementation. The study concludes that sustainable curriculum reform requires strategic policy alignment, teacher capacity building, and continuous evaluation mechanisms.

Keywords – Curriculum Innovation, Learning Outcomes, Basic Education, Pedagogical Reform, Educational Development, Nigeria

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study (Expanded)

Education systems worldwide are increasingly confronted with the need to respond to rapid technological advancements, globalization, and evolving labor market demands. Traditional curricula, often characterized by rote learning and teacher-centered approaches, are no longer sufficient to equip learners with critical 21st-century skills such as problem-solving, creativity, collaboration, and digital literacy (Fullan, 1989; Kelly, 2003).

Curriculum innovation refers to deliberate efforts to improve educational practices through the introduction of new ideas, methodologies, and instructional strategies. It involves not only changes in content but also transformation in pedagogy, assessment methods, and learning environments. According to Fullan (1989), curriculum innovation is a multidimensional process involving materials, teaching approaches, and beliefs.

In Nigeria, the Universal Basic Education (UBE) programme represents a significant attempt at curriculum reform. However, despite these efforts, learning outcomes remain uneven due to implementation gaps (Olaniyan & Adebayo, 2021). Studies suggest that innovative curricula—particularly those integrating ICT and learner-centered approaches—have the potential to significantly improve student achievement (Aldridge & Fraser, 2011).

1.2 Statement of the Problem (Expanded)

Despite ongoing reforms, students in basic education continue to demonstrate low performance in core subjects such as Mathematics, English, and Science. This situation raises concerns about the effectiveness of existing curricula and teaching methods.

Key issues include:

- Over-reliance on traditional teaching methods
- Limited integration of technology
- Inadequate teacher training
- Poor curriculum implementation

These challenges highlight the need to critically examine how curriculum innovation can enhance learning outcomes.

1.3 Objectives of the Study (Expanded)

This study seeks to:

1. Analyze the conceptual framework of curriculum innovation
2. Examine types and dimensions of curriculum innovation
3. Evaluate the impact of curriculum innovation on students' learning outcomes
4. Identify challenges affecting implementation
5. Recommend strategies for improving curriculum innovation

1.4 Research Questions

(Expanded into analytical form)

1. How is curriculum innovation conceptualized in basic education systems?
2. What innovative practices are currently implemented in schools?
3. What measurable effects do these innovations have on student performance?
4. What systemic barriers hinder effective curriculum innovation?
5. What policy and institutional strategies can enhance implementation?

1.5 Significance of the Study (Expanded)

This study contributes to:

- **Policy formulation**: Provides evidence-based insights for education policymakers

ISSN: 3107-6513

- **Academic research**: Expands literature on curriculum innovation
- **Teaching practice**: Guides teachers in adopting innovative methods
- **National development**: Links education reform to economic growth

1.6 Scope and Limitations

The study focuses on basic education institutions, with limitations including access to data and variability in school resources.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW (EXPANDED DISCUSSION)

2.1 Concept of Curriculum Innovation

Curriculum innovation refers to the deliberate process of introducing new ideas, strategies, content, and instructional approaches into the educational curriculum with the aim of improving teaching and learning outcomes. It goes beyond mere curriculum revision; it involves a fundamental transformation in how knowledge is structured, delivered, and assessed within the school system (Fullan, 1989).

In basic education, curriculum innovation is particularly important because it lays the foundation for lifelong learning. It ensures that learners are not only exposed to factual knowledge but also to skills such as critical thinking, creativity, communication, and collaboration. According to Kelly (2003), curriculum innovation is a dynamic process influenced by societal needs, technological advancements, and educational philosophy.

Curriculum innovation can also be understood as a response to the limitations of traditional education systems, which often emphasize memorization and teacher-centered instruction. Modern educational demands require learner-centered approaches that encourage active participation and knowledge construction (Piaget, 1970). Thus, curriculum innovation is both a structural and pedagogical shift aimed at improving relevance and effectiveness in education.

In many developing countries, including Nigeria, curriculum innovation has been introduced through reforms such as the Universal Basic Education (UBE) programme and competency-based curriculum frameworks. These reforms aim to bridge the gap between education and real-life application, ensuring that students acquire practical and employable skills (Olaniyan & Adebayo, 2021).

2.2 Types and Dimensions of Curriculum Innovation

Curriculum innovation is not a single process but a combination of several dimensions that work together to improve learning outcomes. The major types include pedagogical innovation, technological innovation, content innovation, and assessment innovation.

2.2.1 Pedagogical Innovation

Pedagogical innovation refers to changes in teaching methods and classroom practices. It emphasizes learner-centered approaches such as collaborative learning, inquiry-based learning, project-based learning, and problem-solving strategies. These approaches shift the teacher's role from being a "knowledge transmitter" to a "learning facilitator."

Research has shown that pedagogical innovation significantly enhances student engagement and retention of knowledge (Aldridge & Fraser, 2011). When students actively participate in the learning process, they develop deeper understanding and critical thinking skills.

2.2.2 Technological Innovation

Technological innovation involves the integration of digital tools and resources into teaching and learning. This includes the use of computers, tablets, smart boards, educational software, and online learning platforms.

The rise of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) has transformed education globally. Digital learning environments enable personalized learning, instant feedback, and access to global knowledge resources. According to Chan and Yuen (2014), technology integration enhances students' innovation competence and prepares them for the digital economy.

However, in many developing contexts, technological innovation faces challenges such as inadequate infrastructure, poor internet connectivity, and limited teacher ICT skills.

2.2.3 Content Innovation

Content innovation involves the redesign of curriculum content to make it more relevant, practical, and aligned with societal needs. This may include the introduction of new subjects such as entrepreneurship, civic education, environmental studies, and digital literacy.

Modern curriculum content is designed to reflect real-world issues and global challenges such as climate change, globalization, and technological advancement. This ensures that learners are not isolated from contemporary societal demands.

2.2.4 Assessment Innovation

Assessment innovation refers to the shift from traditional examination-based evaluation to more holistic and competency-based assessment methods. This includes formative assessment, continuous assessment, portfolio assessment, and performance-based evaluation.

Assessment innovation emphasizes what learners can do rather than what they can memorize. It provides a more accurate measure of students' abilities and supports individualized learning progress (Glatthorn et al., 1998).

2.3 Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored on three major theories: Constructivist Theory, Human Capital Theory, and Systems Theory.

2.3.1 Constructivist Theory (Piaget, 1970)

Constructivist theory posits that learners actively construct knowledge rather than passively receive it. Learning occurs through experience, interaction, and reflection. In the context of curriculum innovation, constructivism supports learner-centered approaches where students engage in problem-solving and discovery learning.

Curriculum innovation aligns with this theory by promoting active participation, collaboration, and experiential learning. For

ISSN: 3107-6513

example, project-based learning allows students to explore real-life problems and develop solutions independently or in groups.

2.3.2 Human Capital Theory (Becker, 1964)

Human Capital Theory views education as an investment that enhances the productivity and economic value of individuals. According to this theory, improved education leads to better skills, higher income, and national development.

Curriculum innovation contributes to human capital development by equipping learners with relevant skills needed in the modern workforce. By integrating entrepreneurship, ICT, and problem-solving skills into the curriculum, education becomes more aligned with economic demands.

2.3.3 Systems Theory

Systems Theory conceptualizes education as an interconnected system comprising inputs, processes, outputs, and feedback mechanisms. Curriculum innovation is effective only when all components of the education system work together harmoniously.

For example, curriculum reform will not succeed if teachers are not adequately trained or if schools lack infrastructure. Therefore, systems thinking emphasizes the need for coordination among policymakers, educators, students, and communities.

2.4 Curriculum Innovation and Students' Learning Outcomes

Learning outcomes refer to the measurable knowledge, skills, attitudes, and competencies acquired by learners as a result of instruction. Curriculum innovation plays a crucial role in shaping these outcomes.

Empirical studies consistently show a positive relationship between curriculum innovation and student achievement. Aldridge and Fraser (2011) found that improved classroom learning environments significantly enhance academic performance. Similarly, Ovbiagbonhia et al. (2019) demonstrated that innovation competence is strongly linked to curriculum design and instructional practices.

Curriculum innovation improves learning outcomes in several ways:

1. Enhanced Cognitive Skills – Students develop critical thinking and problem-solving abilities.
2. Improved Engagement – Learners become more active participants in the classroom.
3. Better Retention – Interactive learning improves memory retention.
4. Skill Development – Students acquire practical and employable skills.

In Nigeria, studies by Nwogu and Igwe (2023) indicate that schools implementing innovative teaching strategies record higher student performance compared to those using traditional methods.

2.5 Challenges of Curriculum Innovation

Despite its benefits, curriculum innovation faces several challenges, particularly in developing countries.

2.5.1 Inadequate Funding

Curriculum reform requires substantial financial investment for training, materials, and infrastructure. Limited funding restricts effective implementation.

2.5.2 Resistance to Change

Teachers and administrators often resist new methods due to familiarity with traditional approaches. This resistance slows down innovation processes.

2.5.3 Lack of Teacher Training

Teachers are central to curriculum implementation. However, many lack adequate training in modern pedagogical and technological approaches.

2.5.4 Infrastructural Deficits

Poor infrastructure, including inadequate classrooms, ICT facilities, and learning materials, limits the success of curriculum innovation.

2.5.5 Policy Inconsistency

Frequent changes in educational policies disrupt continuity and hinder effective implementation of curriculum reforms.

2.6 Empirical Review

Empirical literature provides strong evidence supporting the relationship between curriculum innovation and learning outcomes.

Aldridge and Fraser (2011) demonstrated that positive learning environments significantly influence student achievement. Ovbiagbonhia et al. (2019) found that innovation competence is directly linked to curriculum design quality. Similarly, Chan and Yuen (2014) emphasized that innovation-oriented education improves students' creativity and adaptability.

In Nigeria, research by Olaniyan and Adebayo (2021) shows that curriculum reforms under the UBE programme have improved access to education but still face implementation challenges. Nwogu and Igwe (2023) further confirm that curriculum innovation enhances academic performance when properly implemented.

These studies collectively suggest that while curriculum innovation is effective in improving learning outcomes, its success depends on contextual factors such as teacher capacity, infrastructure, and policy support.

3. METHODOLOGY (EXPANDED)

3.1 Research Design

This study adopted a mixed-method research design, combining both quantitative and qualitative approaches to provide a comprehensive understanding of the relationship between curriculum innovation and students' learning outcomes in basic education.

The justification for this design lies in the complexity of educational phenomena, which cannot be adequately captured

ISSN: 3107-6513

using a single methodological approach. The quantitative component enables measurement of the extent to which curriculum innovation influences learning outcomes, while the qualitative component provides deeper insight into teachers' and students' experiences, perceptions, and contextual factors influencing implementation.

According to Creswell (2014), mixed-method research is appropriate when a researcher seeks to triangulate findings, enhance validity, and provide a more robust interpretation of results. In this study, triangulation was essential because curriculum innovation involves both measurable academic performance indicators and subjective classroom experiences.

The study adopted a convergent parallel design, where both qualitative and quantitative data were collected simultaneously, analyzed separately, and then merged for interpretation.

3.2 Area of the Study

The study was conducted in selected basic education schools. Basic education in this context refers to primary and junior secondary schools operating under the Universal Basic Education (UBE) framework. These schools were chosen because they represent the foundation of the education system and are most directly affected by curriculum reforms.

The selection of schools ensured representation of:

- Urban and rural settings
- Public and private institutions
- Schools with varying levels of resource availability

This diversity allowed for a balanced assessment of curriculum innovation across different educational environments.

3.3 Population of the Study

The population of the study comprised all teachers and students in selected basic education schools within the study area. The population is considered appropriate because teachers are directly involved in curriculum implementation, while students are the primary beneficiaries and indicators of learning outcomes.

The target population included:

- Basic education teachers (primary and junior secondary levels)
- Students in upper primary and junior secondary classes

This population was selected to ensure that respondents had sufficient exposure to curriculum content and instructional practices.

3.4 Sample Size and Sampling Technique

A total of 150 respondents were selected for the study. This comprised teachers and students drawn from selected schools.

A stratified random sampling technique was used to ensure fair representation of different categories of respondents. The population was divided into strata based on:

- School type (public/private)
- Location (urban/rural)
- Role (teachers/students)

From each stratum, respondents were randomly selected to eliminate bias and ensure representativeness.

Stratified sampling was considered appropriate because it enhances precision and ensures that key subgroups are adequately represented (Kothari, 2004).

3.5 Research Instruments

Three main instruments were used for data collection:

3.5.1 Questionnaire

A structured questionnaire was designed for teachers and students. It contained both closed-ended and Likert-scale items aimed at measuring:

- Level of curriculum innovation implementation
- Perception of teaching methods
- Impact on learning outcomes
- Challenges faced in implementation

The Likert scale ranged from Strongly Agree (5) to Strongly Disagree (1).

3.5.2 Interview Guide

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with selected teachers and school administrators. The interview guide focused on:

- Experiences with curriculum innovation
- Perceived effectiveness of reforms
- Challenges and coping strategies

This qualitative instrument allowed respondents to express opinions freely and provide detailed insights.

3.5.3 Academic Performance Records

Students' academic records were used to objectively assess learning outcomes. These included examination scores in core subjects such as Mathematics, English Language, and Basic Science.

3.6 Validity and Reliability of Instruments

3.6.1 Validity

Content validity was ensured through expert review. Educational researchers and curriculum specialists examined the instruments to ensure that items adequately measured the intended variables. Their feedback was used to refine and improve the instruments.

3.6.2 Reliability

To ensure reliability, a pilot study was conducted using a small sample of respondents not included in the main study. The Cronbach Alpha coefficient was used to determine internal consistency, with a reliability value of 0.78 obtained, indicating acceptable reliability for social science research.

3.7 Method of Data Collection

Data collection was carried out in stages:

1. Pre-field preparation – Permission was obtained from school authorities.

ISSN: 3107-6513

2. Administration of questionnaires – Distributed to selected respondents and retrieved after completion.
3. Conducting interviews – Held with teachers and administrators at scheduled times.
4. Collection of academic records – Obtained from school examination offices with authorization.

The researcher ensured confidentiality and ethical compliance throughout the process.

3.8 Method of Data Analysis

Data analysis was carried out using both quantitative and qualitative techniques.

3.8.1 Quantitative Analysis

Quantitative data obtained from questionnaires and academic records were analyzed using:

- Descriptive statistics (mean, frequency, and percentage)
- Inferential statistics (regression analysis)

The regression model was used to determine the relationship between curriculum innovation and students' learning outcomes:

$$LO = \beta_0 + \beta_1 CI + \beta_2 TI + \beta_3 PI + \epsilon$$

Where:

- LO = Learning Outcomes
- CI = Curriculum Innovation
- TI = Technological Integration
- PI = Pedagogical Innovation
- ε = Error term

This model helped to determine the strength and significance of the relationship between variables.

3.8.2 Qualitative Analysis

Qualitative data from interviews were analyzed using thematic analysis. Responses were coded and grouped into themes such as:

- Teacher readiness
- Resource availability
- Perceived effectiveness of innovation
- Implementation challenges

This allowed for deeper interpretation of quantitative findings.

3.9 Ethical Considerations

Ethical principles were strictly observed throughout the study. These included:

- Informed consent from participants
- Voluntary participation
- Confidentiality of respondents' identities
- Anonymity in data reporting
- Permission from school authorities

Participants were assured that data collected would be used strictly for academic purposes.

3.10 Limitations of the Methodology

The study encountered some limitations:

- Difficulty in accessing complete academic records in some schools
- Time constraints in conducting interviews
- Variability in respondents' understanding of curriculum innovation

However, these limitations were minimized through careful planning and methodological triangulation.

4. RESULTS AND DISSSION

4.1 RESULTS

4.1.1 Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

The analysis of demographic data revealed that respondents consisted of teachers, students, and school administrators drawn from both public and private basic education schools. The majority of teacher respondents were within the 31–50 years age bracket, indicating a relatively experienced teaching workforce. Most possessed either the National Certificate in Education (NCE) or a Bachelor's degree in Education, suggesting a moderate level of professional qualification.

Student respondents were mainly drawn from upper primary and junior secondary levels, ensuring they had sufficient exposure to curriculum content and classroom learning experiences. The distribution across urban and rural schools provided a balanced representation of educational contexts.

4.1.2 Level of Curriculum Innovation in Basic Education

The findings showed that curriculum innovation is moderately implemented in basic education schools.

- 62% of respondents agreed that curriculum innovation exists in their schools
- 28% indicated low implementation
- 10% reported minimal or no implementation

From interview responses, it was observed that while curriculum policies promote innovation, actual classroom practice still relies heavily on traditional teaching methods. Many teachers acknowledged awareness of innovative strategies but indicated limited practical application due to resource constraints.

4.1.3 Types of Curriculum Innovation Adopted

The study identified several forms of curriculum innovation currently in use:

(a) Pedagogical Innovation

- Group discussions
- Inquiry-based learning
- Project-based learning

About 58% of respondents confirmed the use of learner-centered approaches, though inconsistently applied.

(b) Technological Innovation

- Use of computers and projectors
- Limited internet-based instruction

Only 35% of schools reported regular ICT integration, indicating low adoption of technological innovation.

(c) Content Innovation

- Introduction of entrepreneurship education

ISSN: 3107-6513

- Civic education
- Basic digital literacy

These additions were widely acknowledged but unevenly implemented across schools.

(d) Assessment Innovation

- Continuous assessment
- Class assignments and tests
- Performance-based evaluation (limited use)

While continuous assessment is widely practiced, its effectiveness is constrained by large class sizes and workload challenges.

4.1.4 Impact of Curriculum Innovation on Students' Learning Outcomes

Quantitative analysis (regression results) indicated a significant positive relationship between curriculum innovation and students' learning outcomes ($p < 0.05$).

Key findings include:

- 70% of respondents agreed that innovative teaching improves understanding
- 65% reported increased student engagement
- 60% observed improvement in examination performance

Academic records further confirmed that schools with higher levels of curriculum innovation recorded better performance in Mathematics, English Language, and Basic Science.

Students reported that interactive teaching methods made lessons easier to understand and more interesting.

4.1.5 Challenges Affecting Curriculum Innovation

The study identified several major challenges:

- Inadequate funding for teaching materials and ICT facilities (72% agreement)
- Poor teacher training on modern instructional methods (68% agreement)
- Resistance to change among some teachers
- Large class sizes, making individualized instruction difficult
- Inadequate infrastructure, especially in rural schools

Interview responses emphasized that even when teachers are willing to innovate, structural constraints limit implementation.

4.1.6 Strategies for Improving Curriculum Innovation

Respondents suggested the following strategies:

- Regular teacher training and retraining programs
- Increased government funding for education
- Provision of ICT infrastructure in schools
- Regular curriculum review and updating
- Strong collaboration among stakeholders (government, teachers, parents, NGOs)

Approximately 75% of respondents strongly supported teacher capacity development as the most critical intervention.

4.2 DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

This section interprets the findings in relation to the research objectives and existing literature.

4.2.1 Curriculum Innovation and Its Level of Implementation

The study revealed that curriculum innovation is present but not fully implemented in basic education schools. This aligns with Fullan (1989), who argues that educational reform often fails at the implementation stage due to systemic constraints.

Although policies encourage innovation, classroom practices remain largely traditional. This suggests a gap between curriculum design and actual delivery.

4.2.2 Types of Curriculum Innovation in Practice

The dominance of pedagogical innovation over technological innovation indicates that schools are gradually shifting toward learner-centered approaches, but ICT integration remains weak. This supports Chan and Yuen (2014), who noted that innovation is most effective when technology and pedagogy are integrated. However, in resource-constrained environments, pedagogical changes tend to precede technological adoption.

Content innovation such as entrepreneurship and civic education reflects global trends toward skill-based education, consistent with Kelly (2003).

4.2.3 Curriculum Innovation and Students' Learning Outcomes

The findings confirm a positive and significant relationship between curriculum innovation and students' academic performance.

This supports Aldridge and Fraser (2011), who found that improved learning environments enhance academic achievement. It also aligns with Ovbiagbonhia et al. (2019), who reported that innovation competence is linked to curriculum design quality.

Constructivist theory is strongly supported, as students exposed to interactive and learner-centered approaches demonstrated better understanding and retention.

4.2.4 Challenges Limiting Curriculum Innovation

The challenges identified in this study are consistent with previous research in developing contexts.

- Inadequate funding remains a major barrier, as noted by Olaniyan and Adebayo (2021)
- Poor teacher training limits effective implementation of new methods
- Resistance to change reflects Fullan's (1989) assertion that educational change is emotionally and professionally complex
- Infrastructure deficits hinder ICT integration

These challenges collectively explain the gap between policy intentions and classroom realities.

ISSN: 3107-6513

4.2.5 Strategies for Improvement

The recommended strategies align with systems theory, which emphasizes that educational improvement requires coordinated effort across multiple components.

Teacher training emerges as the most critical factor, reinforcing Becker's (1964) Human Capital Theory, which emphasizes investment in human resources for improved outcomes.

Similarly, ICT integration and adequate funding are essential for sustaining innovation in modern education systems.

4.2.6 Theoretical Implications

- Constructivist Theory is validated through evidence that active learning improves student outcomes
- Human Capital Theory is supported as curriculum innovation enhances skills development
- Systems Theory is reinforced, showing that curriculum innovation depends on interconnected institutional support

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 CONCLUSION

This study examined curriculum innovation and students' learning outcomes in basic education, with particular attention to the extent of implementation, types of innovation adopted, its impact on students' academic performance, and the challenges affecting its effective delivery.

Findings from the study clearly indicate that curriculum innovation plays a significant and positive role in improving students' learning outcomes in basic education. Schools that demonstrate higher levels of curriculum innovation—particularly through learner-centered pedagogies, continuous assessment practices, and limited integration of ICT—record better academic performance compared to schools with low innovation levels.

The study revealed that curriculum innovation is moderately implemented in most basic education schools. While there is growing awareness of modern instructional approaches among teachers, actual classroom implementation remains inconsistent. Traditional teaching methods such as lecture-based instruction still dominate many classrooms, limiting the full benefits of curriculum reform.

Among the various forms of curriculum innovation identified, pedagogical innovation (such as group discussions, inquiry-based learning, and project-based activities) is the most widely adopted. However, technological innovation remains the least developed, mainly due to inadequate ICT infrastructure, poor internet access, and limited teacher competence in digital tools.

The study further established that curriculum innovation has a statistically significant effect on students' learning outcomes, including improved academic performance, enhanced understanding of concepts, increased engagement in learning activities, and better development of critical thinking skills. These findings align with constructivist learning theory, which emphasizes active student participation in knowledge construction.

Despite these positive outcomes, the study identified several challenges that hinder effective curriculum innovation. These include inadequate funding, insufficient teacher training, overcrowded classrooms, resistance to change among some educators, and poor infrastructure. These challenges create a gap between curriculum policy intentions and actual classroom implementation.

In summary, curriculum innovation is not only relevant but essential for improving the quality of basic education. However, its success depends on the availability of resources, teacher preparedness, and strong institutional support systems. Without addressing these challenges, the full benefits of curriculum reform may not be realized.

5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are made to enhance curriculum innovation and improve students' learning outcomes in basic education:

5.2.1 Strengthening Teacher Capacity and Professional Development

Teachers are the central drivers of curriculum implementation. Therefore, continuous professional development programs should be organized regularly to equip teachers with modern pedagogical skills. These training programmes should focus on:

- Learner-centered teaching strategies
- ICT integration in teaching and learning
- Classroom management in large class settings
- Assessment literacy, especially formative and competency-based assessment

Workshops, seminars, and in-service training should be made compulsory and sustained by education authorities.

5.2.2 Increased Funding for Basic Education

Government at all levels should increase budgetary allocation to basic education to support curriculum innovation. Adequate funding should be directed towards:

- Provision of instructional materials
- Establishment of ICT laboratories
- Classroom expansion to reduce overcrowding
- Procurement of modern teaching aids

Without adequate funding, curriculum innovation will remain theoretical rather than practical.

5.2.3 Integration of ICT into Teaching and Learning

Digital technology should be fully integrated into the basic education curriculum. Schools should be equipped with:

- Computers and projectors
- Internet connectivity
- Educational software and digital learning platforms

Teachers should also be trained on how to effectively use these tools to enhance classroom instruction. This will help bridge the gap between traditional and modern teaching methods.

ISSN: **3107-6513**

5.2.4 Regular Curriculum Review and Update

The curriculum should be reviewed periodically to ensure it remains relevant to societal needs and global trends. Curriculum content should reflect:

- Digital literacy skills
- Entrepreneurship education
- Environmental awareness
- Problem-solving and critical thinking skills

Regular updates will ensure that learners are adequately prepared for higher education and the labour market.

5.2.5 Reduction of Class Size for Effective Learning

Overcrowded classrooms significantly reduce the effectiveness of curriculum innovation. Government and school administrators should ensure:

- Recruitment of more qualified teachers
- Construction of additional classrooms
- Proper student-teacher ratio enforcement

Smaller class sizes will enable teachers to implement interactive and learner-centered approaches more effectively.

5.2.6 Encouraging Stakeholder Participation

Effective curriculum innovation requires collaboration among all stakeholders, including:

- Government agencies
- School administrators
- Teachers
- Parents
- Non-governmental organizations (NGOs)

Stakeholders should be actively involved in curriculum planning, implementation, and evaluation to ensure accountability and sustainability.

5.2.7 Reducing Resistance to Change

Efforts should be made to reduce resistance among teachers through:

- Sensitization programmes on the benefits of curriculum innovation
- Incentives for teachers who adopt innovative teaching methods
- Supportive supervision rather than punitive inspection

Positive reinforcement will encourage teachers to embrace modern instructional practices.

5.2.8 Strengthening Monitoring and Evaluation Systems

A strong monitoring and evaluation framework should be established to ensure proper implementation of curriculum innovations. Education authorities should:

- Conduct regular school inspections
- Evaluate teacher performance
- Assess student learning outcomes periodically
- Use feedback to improve curriculum implementation

This will ensure accountability and continuous improvement in the education system.

REFERENCES

[1] Adebayo, T., & Oladele, R. (2022). Curriculum redesign and educational outcomes in Nigeria. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 13(4), 45–60.

[2] Akinbode, O., Ogunleye, J., & Adebisi, K. (2020). Outcome-based education and student performance in developing countries. *African Journal of Educational Studies*, 8(2), 33–49.

[3] Aldridge, J. M., & Fraser, B. J. (2011). Relationships between classroom learning environments and student outcomes. *Learning Environments Research*, 14(2), 89–104. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10984-011-9087-0

[4] Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis*. University of Chicago Press.

[5] Blenkin, G. M., Edwards, G., & Kelly, A. V. (2012). *Change and the curriculum*. Routledge.

[6] Chan, C. K. K., & Yuen, M. (2014). Creative competence and curriculum innovation in education. *Educational Research Journal*, 29(1), 45–60.

[7] Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Sage.

[8] Dorman, J. P., & Fraser, B. J. (2009). Psychosocial environment and student outcomes in science classrooms. *International Journal of Science Education*, 31(5), 653–670.

[9] Fullan, M. (1989). *Curriculum implementation and educational change*. Teachers College Press.

[10] Fullan, M. (2007). *The new meaning of educational change* (4th ed.). Teachers College Press.

[11] Glatthorn, A. A., Boschee, F., Whitehead, B. M., & Boschee, B. F. (1998). *Curriculum leadership: Strategies for development and implementation*. Allyn & Bacon.

[12] Jeffs, T., & Smith, M. (2010). *Informal education: Conversation, democracy and learning*. Educational Heretics Press.

[13] Kelly, A. V. (2003). *The curriculum: Theory and practice* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.

ISSN: **3107-6513**

[14] Kothari, C. R. (2004). *Research methodology: Methods and techniques*. New Age International.

[15] Nwogu, I., & Igwe, C. (2023). Curriculum innovation and educational development in Nigeria. *International Journal of Education and Development*, 15(1), 78–92.

[16] Olaniyan, D. A., & Adebayo, K. (2021). Educational reform and implementation challenges in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Educational Management*, 9(1), 21–35.

[17] Ovbiagbonhia, A. R., Kollöffel, B., & den Brok, P. (2019). Learning environments and innovation competence in secondary education. *Learning Environments Research*, 22(3), 387–407. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10984-019-09280-3

[18] Piaget, J. (1970). *Science of education and the psychology of the child*. Viking Press.

[19] Rifai, M., Purwanti, E., & Nurkolis, N. (2025). Curriculum leadership and instructional design effectiveness. *Curricula Journal*, 4(2), 1823–1840.

[20] Setyaningrum, A. E., & Hanif, M. (2024). Curriculum innovation and student learning achievement. *Journal of Social Studies Education*, 4(2), 45–60.

[21] Ugbode, C. O., & Adeleke, A. (2021). Relevance of curriculum development in modern education systems. *Journal of Educational Development*, 10(2), 55–70.

[22] Wirtati, I., Susanto, A., & Hidayat, R. (2025). Curriculum management and school effectiveness. *EDUTEC Journal*, 8(3), 120–135.

[23] Zulkifli, M., & Danim, S. (2023). Learning outcomes and curriculum effectiveness in basic education. *Atlantis Press Proceedings*, 11(1), 112–125.

[24] Ajibade, S. O. (2020). ICT integration in Nigerian schools and curriculum innovation. *Journal of Digital Education*, 6(2), 88–102.

[25] Akomolafe, C. O. (2019). Teacher effectiveness and curriculum delivery in basic education. *Journal of Educational Research in Africa*, 7(1), 15–29.

[26] Bishop, J. (2013). The effect of curriculum reform on student achievement. *Economics of Education Review*, 34, 1–12.

[27] Bruner, J. S. (1966). *Toward a theory of instruction*. Harvard University Press.

[28] Dewey, J. (1938). *Experience and education*. Macmillan.

[29] Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. Continuum.

[30] Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. Routledge.

[31] Tyler, R. W. (1949). *Basic principles of curriculum and instruction*. University of Chicago Press.

[32] UNESCO. (2017). *Education for sustainable development goals: Learning objectives*. UNESCO Publishing.

[33] UNICEF. (2020). *Basic education quality and learning outcomes report*. UNICEF Publications.

[34] World Bank. (2018). *Learning to realize education's promise*. World Development Report.

ISSN: 3107-6513

APPENDICES: DATA PRESENTATION (TABLES)

Table 4.1: Demographic Distribution of Respondents (N = 150)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Respondents	Teachers	60	40.0
	Students	80	53.3
	Administrators	10	6.7
Gender	Male	82	54.7
	Female	68	45.3
Age (Teachers only)	21–30	12	8.0
	31–40	28	18.7
	41–50	15	10.0
	Above 50	5	3.3
Qualification (Teachers)	NCE	22	14.7
	B.Ed/B.Sc Ed	30	20.0
	M.Ed	8	5.3
School Type	Public	95	63.3
	Private	55	36.7

Table 4.2: Level of Curriculum Innovation Implementation

Item	SA (%)	A (%)	D (%)	SD (%)	Mean Decision
Curriculum innovation is implemented in my school	28	34	25	13	Moderate
Teachers are aware of curriculum reforms	30	40	20	10	High
Learner-centered methods are used	25	33	27	15	Moderate
ICT is integrated in teaching	18	17	35	30	Low
Curriculum is regularly updated	22	30	28	20	Moderate

Table 4.3: Types of Curriculum Innovation Adopted

Item	SA (%)	A (%)	D (%)	SD (%)	Interpretation
Project-based learning is used	26	32	24	18	Moderate
Group discussions are encouraged	30	38	20	12	High
ICT tools are used in teaching	15	20	35	30	Low
Continuous assessment is practiced	35	40	15	10	High
Entrepreneurship is taught	28	30	25	17	Moderate

Table 4.4: Impact of Curriculum Innovation on Learning Outcomes

Item	SA (%)	A (%)	D (%)	SD (%)	Mean Decision
Improves academic performance	38	32	18	12	High
Enhances understanding of lessons	40	30	20	10	High
Increases student engagement	36	29	22	13	High
Improves critical thinking skills	33	31	24	12	Moderate–High
Improves exam performance	34	28	25	13	Moderate–High

ISSN: 3107-6513

Table 4.5: Academic Performance Comparison (Scores %)

School Type	Mathematics	English	Basic Science	Average
High Innovation Schools	72%	75%	78%	75.0%
Moderate Innovation Schools	63%	66%	68%	65.7%
Low Innovation Schools	52%	55%	58%	55.0%

Table 4.6: Regression Analysis (Effect of Curriculum Innovation on Learning Outcomes)

Variable	Beta (β)	t-value	Sig. (p-value)	Decision
Curriculum Innovation (CI)	0.48	5.21	0.000	Significant
Technological Integration (TI)	0.32	3.84	0.001	Significant
Pedagogical Innovation (PI)	0.41	4.67	0.000	Significant
Constant	1.25	—	—	—

Model Summary

R	R^2	Adjusted R^2
0.78	0.61	0.59

👉**Interpretation:**
Curriculum innovation explains **61% of variation in students' learning outcomes**, which is statistically strong.

Table 4.7: Challenges Affecting Curriculum Innovation

Item	SA (%)	A (%)	D (%)	SD (%)	Severity
Lack of funding	42	30	18	10	High
Poor teacher training	38	30	20	12	High
ICT infrastructure shortage	40	28	20	12	High
Large class sizes	36	32	18	14	High
Resistance to change	25	35	25	15	Moderate

Table 4.8: Strategies for Improving Curriculum Innovation

Item	SA (%)	A (%)	D (%)	SD (%)	Priority
Teacher training programs	45	30	15	10	Very High
Government funding increase	50	25	15	10	Very High
ICT provision in schools	48	28	14	10	Very High
Curriculum review	40	35	15	10	High
Stakeholder involvement	38	32	20	10	High